

Bundesminister für Gesundheit Jens Spahn
Friedrichstraße 108
10117 Berlin

Urgent need for action on SARS-CoV-2 and the measles vaccination programme

Dear Mr Jens Spahn, Federal Minister of Health,

You are the principal person responsible in Germany for establishing the legal Corona/Covid measures and the measles vaccination obligation. The Infection Protection Act (Infektionsschutzgesetz, IfSG) infringes upon several inalienable fundamental rights. For example, the right to life, physical integrity and freedom according to the Basic Law (GG) Article 2 (2): "Everyone has the right to life and physical integrity. The freedom of the person is inviolable. These rights may only be impinged upon by law."

Section 1 (2) IfSG requires all those involved in the testing, planning and implementation of corona/covid measures and measles vaccination programmes to "design and support them in accordance with the respective state of medical and epidemiological science and technology."

You have neglected to check or have others check the statements of virology about the existence of an alleged new SARS-CoV-2 and the measles virus for their validity as science, for their application of the rules of scientific procedure. These rules of scientific method, which allow statements to be described as scientific, have been established in writing since 1998, are international and valid for all disciplines. These rules are part of the employment contracts of scientists who use taxpayers' money.

These standards of scientific practice are blatantly violated in virology. **The prescribed control tests to exclude errors and self-deception have never been carried out and published.**

This easily verifiable fact proves that the statements of virologists are not scientific, but are indeed anti-scientific. Since in essential areas the foundation of our democracy is science, the anti-scientific behaviour of virologists under your leadership must be described as anti-democratic and unconstitutional. I refer here to Article GG 5 (3): „Kunst und Wissenschaft, Forschung und Lehre sind frei. Die Freiheit der Lehre entbindet nicht von der Treue zur Verfassung.“[“Art and science, research and teaching are independent and unrestricted. The freedom of scholarship does not release from fidelity to the constitution.”] The term "science" refers to what you and others present to the public as scientific facts.

This leads to the logical conclusion that the requirements of the IfSG to effectively interfere with fundamental rights are not fulfilled. Since the requirements of the scientific method, which the IfSG demands in § 1 (2), have not been met, but rather have been tacitly, carelessly to recklessly assumed or asserted against better knowledge, all subsequent paragraphs of the IfSG are ineffective and not binding.

This means that all Corona/Covid measures and the measles vaccination obligation have no legal force, they are unlawful, in other words illegal. I would like to draw your attention to the fact that I

have already pointed out these and other relevant facts to you on 17.3.2020 and on subsequent occasions.

Based on these easily recognisable and verifiable facts, I call on you to immediately withdraw all Corona/Covid measures and the measles vaccine programme, to hold the national and international virologists and other "scientists" involved accountable and to take responsibility for what has happened.

The manifest absence of control experiments in virology since 1954, the existence of a purely computational construction of the so-called genetic sequence of the alleged SARS-CoV-2 and the legally binding judgement of the Higher Regional Court of Stuttgart (OLG Stgt) of 16.2.2016, AZ: 12 U 63/15, in the well-known measles virus trial, determines the following:

With these facts the legal force of all Corona/Covid measures and as well as that of the measles vaccination requirement immediately cease to exist.

It must be pointed out that legal recourse by German citizens called for by Article 20 (4) have so far not been successful.

[Note by translator, Article 20 (4) of the Constitution states, "All Germans have the right to resist anyone who attempts to undermine the constitutional order if no other recourse is possible." https://www.bundestag.de/dokumente/textarchiv/2013/47878421_kw50_grundgesetz_20-214054]

Detailed explanations:

I. The claims of the existence of the genetic material strand of SARS-CoV-2

On 10.1.2020, Prof. Zhang's research group in Shanghai published a genetic sequence on a website accessible to virologists. This sequence alignment was published in the science journal Nature on 3.2.2020 (citation at the end). After the publication of this sequence, ALL virologists subsequently used this construction manual for the purely mathematical creation of a sequence and naturally arrived at similar results. This gave the impression to the public and obviously also to you that the virus is not a virus. After the publication of this sequence, ALL subsequent virologists have repeated the supposed construction manual for the purely mathematical creation of a sequence and have come to similar results. This gave the impression to the public and also obviously to you that the resulting strand is a scientifically proven fact, the proof of the existence of a new virus, SARS-CoV-2.

From reading this and all other publications that confirm the sequence strand that was presented, three facts clearly emerge:

1. Extremely intense and non-specific propagation of millions of short fragments of nucleic acids using PCR technique was used, but no sequences were ever discovered that match the sequence of the genetic strand that was presented to the public. A genetic strand has never been detected from a human being that would correspond to the published sequence. No genetic strand of a virus was found in the mixture of nucleic acids obtained from a human with pneumonia (nor subsequently from other individuals).
2. Based on the sequence data generated in the initial nucleic acid propagation, short pieces of nucleic acids are biochemically produced using PCR for the propagation of nucleic acids, the so-called primers. Depending on the protocol, these artificially generated primers yield between 4-20% of the sequence alignment that is ultimately presented as the sequence alignment of SARS-CoV-2. This second PCR propagation process for the subsequent mathematical, bioinformatic formation of the sequence is frequently referred to as deep meta-transcriptomic sequencing. The fact that an extremely unscientific high number of cycles of the PCR is applied

here (35-45 Ct value), in which artificial nucleic acid sequences are inevitably generated that do not exist in reality, is further proof of the anti-scientific nature of virology, but this aspect plays no role in the discussion presented here.

It is evident from points 1. and 2. that no genetic strand of a virus was ever found. Instead, existing fragments of nucleic acids were first multiplied biochemically, by means of double PCR, intensively, and with an extremely high error rate. The sequences of these million-fold artificially generated nucleic acids were established, then mathematically subdivided into much shorter sequences and arbitrarily combined together. Specialised software programmes are used to select from the multitude of these arbitrary combinations, those that match a predetermined nucleic acid. The resulting mathematical construct is presented as the genetic strand of a virus.

This proves that it has never been possible to construct the genome strand of an assumed virus from genuinely existing sequences of nucleic acids. The computational construction of the alleged genome strand of the presumed SARS-CoV-2 is only achieved after two rounds of unspecific and extreme propagation using PCR technology.

3. The anti-scientific nature of all virologists involved is proven by the fact that the mandatory control experiments are missing in the publication by Prof. Yong-Zhen Zhang and colleagues who are responsible for creating the alleged viral genome sequence of SARS-CoV-2. This striking omission was and is still tolerated. The mandatory control experiments would involve following the same steps - the construction of the sequence of a genetic strand of a suspected new virus but using nucleic acids from healthy humans. These control experiments are the prerequisite for calling a statement scientific. Virologists have the duty to recognise and avoid misinterpretations.

In none of the subsequent publications repeating the sequence given by Prof. Yong-Zhen Zhang are there any control experiments, even the words "control" or "negative control" are missing. Not only have the virologists disproved themselves with their actions, they themselves have proven their anti-scientific nature and documented it in each of their numerous publications.

II. Verdict Higher Regional Court OLG Stuttgart, AZ: 12 U 63/15, 16.2.2016 in the measles virus trial

The measles virus trial, initiated by me in 2011, achieved the goal in 2017 of generating legally effective proof that all of virology, not just regarding measles, acts anti-scientifically. Since 2017, it has been part of German jurisprudence that all virology lacks scientific basis. It was recorded in the measles virus trial that the mandatory control experiments required by science have, since 1954, never been carried out and documented by virologists. Therefore, all virologists involved have overlooked the fact that they themselves produce effects which they interpret as viral by means of applied techniques. Thus, as exemplified by SARS-CoV-2, common biomolecules are theoretically assembled into virus models that do not exist in reality.

The measles virus lawsuit came about because a medical doctor tried to claim the €100,000 prize money which had been offered for presenting the scientific proof of the virus. His claim was upheld in 2014 because he submitted six publications, each of which claimed to prove the existence of the measles virus. The forensic expert appointed in the first instance by the regional court, Ravensburg Regional Court, found that **none of the publications submitted contained proof of the existence of a virus**. This fact was confirmed by the Higher Regional Court of Stuttgart in its judgement on the 16 February 2016, which became final in 2017 and by which I was acquitted of having to pay the plaintiff the €100,000.

In the minutes of the hearing of the Ravensburg Regional Court on 12.3.2015, AZ: 4 O 346/13, it is documented that the court-appointed expert states that **none of the six publications contain the**

control experiments prescribed in science, which are also referred to as negative controls. Thus, the court-appointed expert has proven - which was also confirmed by four other expert opinions that I submitted - that the entire field of virology is acting anti-scientifically. The logical conclusion: all statements of virology are neither practically nor legally usable, but must be rejected as self-deception and deception of others.

In addition, the oldest of the six publications that had been submitted and which was judicially determined to contain no proof of the existence of a virus has since 1954 been exclusive basis of all current virology. This means that with the final judgement of the OLG Stgt on 16.2.2016, the entire field of virology, which claims the existence of disease-causing viruses, is deprived of its scientific and legal basis.

The details of this can be found in my article "Der Bundesgerichtshof lässt den Glauben an die Viren untergehen" ("The Federal Supreme Court sinks the faith in viruses") in the magazine w+ 2/2017, which has been in your files since 17.3.2020 and can be found freely on the internet, on my page www.wissenschaftplus.de under „Wichtige Texte“ [“Important Texts”].

As a human being, I beg you,

as an active scientist, a virologist, and the discoverer of a beneficial structure now known as a "giant virus" and "viro-plankton", I urge you,

as a citizen and sovereign of the FRG (Federal Republic of Germany), I insist of you, as my public servant,

that you immediately withdraw the Corona/Covid measures and the compulsory measles vaccination requirement.

I expect you to admit your shortcomings to the population and to cooperate in repairing the damage caused to the body and soul of the population and the economy by the unjustifiable Corona/Covid measures and by the mandatory measles vaccination.

With kind regards from Lake Constance

Dr Stefan Lanka

Langenargen, 5.10.2021

The publication outlining the theoretically generated sequence alignment, which is claimed to be the genetic strand of the SARS-CoV-2:

A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China.

Fan Wu, Su Zhao, Bin Yu, Yan-Mei Chen, Wen Wang, Zhi-Gang Song, Yi Hu, Zhao-Wu Tao, Jun-Hua Tian, Yuan-Yuan Pei, Ming-Li Yuan, Yu-Ling Zhang, Fa-Hui Dai, Yi Liu, Qi-Min Wang, Jiao-Jiao Zheng, Lin Xu, Edward C. Holmes & Yong-Zhen Zhang.

